

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,694	10/24/2001	Christian Radelet	9303-2	8601
20792 75	590 11/14/2003		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			ESTRADA, ANGEL R	
PO BOX 37428			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RALEIGH, NO	C 27627		ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	09/980,694	RADELET, CHRIS	STIAN
•	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Angel R. Estrada	2831	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sh	with the correspond nce ad	ddress
THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of 1) a timely filed amend al (with appeal fee); o	this application. A proper idment which places the appr (3) a timely filed Request	reply to a olication in
	EPLY [check either a)	or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	visory Action, or (2) the date an SIX MONTHS from the n FILED WITHIN TWO MON ate on which the petition undersion and the corresponding a	nailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION or 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate	. See MPEP riate extension fee extension fee under
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant	onths after the mailing date o	f the final rejection, even if timely file	ed, may reduce any
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid	dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		or search (see NOTE below	');
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appo	eal by materially reducing o	or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding r	umber of finally rejected cla	aims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if subm	tted in a separate, timely fi	led amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		been considered but does I	NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed	I SOLELY to issues which was	were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be er rould be rejected is pro	itered or b) will be entered or below or appended.	ed and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Pap	er No(s)	
10. Other:	,	DEAN A. REICHARD RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	/13/03
5 Patent and Trademark Office TOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Advis		CHNOLOGY CENTER 2800	art of Paper No. 1103
,	•	' '	

U.S Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application No.

Continuation of 5. Upon cursory review, the request for consideraton filed on October 7, 2003 does not clearly place the claims in

condition for allowance. The Applicant's argues that the combination of Gittle (US 4,743,209) in view of Tribe (GB 599,354) is improper because the obviousness rejection must require a clear and particular evidence of a motivation to combine the references. However, the Examiner clearly stated a motivation to combine the references in the Office Action mailed on August 13, 2003, by substituting the fastener mechanism of Gittle with the clamp mechanism of Tribe the two cooperating closure members (33,34) can be secured without using any tools, such as a wrench. With respect to claim 26 applicant argues that the combination does not disclose a mechanism "positioned on an end of the closure member", this argument is not persuasive, because Figure 3 of Gittle clearly shows a mechanism positioned on an end of the closure member (for clarification purposes the first and second ends of the closure casing are denoted by reference numbers 36 and 43, see figure 3)